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Relevant physical constants and parameters

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { e }:=0.95 & \text { typical coefficient of restitution between balls } \\
\mathrm{D}:=\frac{2.25 \cdot \mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{~m}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{R}}:=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{2} & \text { ball dimensions, converter to meters } \\
\mathrm{v}_{\text {slow }}:=\frac{3 \cdot \mathrm{mph}}{\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}} & \text { typical slow CB speed, in meters } / \mathrm{sec} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\text {fast }}:=\frac{7 \cdot \mathrm{mph}}{\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}} & \\
\varphi:=0 \cdot \operatorname{deg}, 1 \cdot \mathrm{deg} . .90 \cdot \mathrm{deg} & \text { cut angle range } \\
\mathrm{f}:=0,0.01 . .1 & \text { ball-hit fraction range }
\end{array}
$$

From TP A.23, cut angle ( $\phi$ ) and ball-hit fraction (f) are related according to:

$$
\varphi_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{f}):=\operatorname{asin}(1-\mathrm{f}) \quad \mathrm{f}_{\varphi}(\varphi):=1-\sin (\varphi)
$$

From TP A.4, the ideal carom angle for a rolling CB , neglecting ball inelasticity and friction is:

$$
\theta_{\text {ideal }}(\varphi):=\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{\sin (\varphi) \cdot \cos (\varphi)}{\sin (\varphi)^{2}+\frac{2}{5}}\right)
$$

A very crude approximation for CB carom angle, which works fairly well close to a $1 / 2$-ball hit, is called "back-of-the-ball" aiming, where you visualize the mirror image of the CB's ghost-ball position on the back of the OB, as if the OB were striking the mirror image of the CB. This approximation predicts that the CB carom angle is the same as the cut angle of the shot:

$$
\theta_{\text {back }}(\varphi):=\varphi
$$

Another crude approximation for CB carom angle, which works well for full hits, is called "back-point-on-the-ball" aiming (sometimes also called "back-of-the-ball" aiming). The predicted CB direction is parallel to a line through the center of the $O B$ and the point on the back of the $O B$ along the aiming line:

$$
\theta_{\text {point }}(\varphi):=\operatorname{asin}(2 \cdot \sin (\varphi))
$$

As suggested by Onoda (Am. J. Phys., v57, n5, May, 1989), because of the shape of the curves in the plots below, the CB carom angle can be approximated fairly well over certain ranges of shots. For thin hits (less than a $1 / 4$-ball hit), the carom angle is about $70 \%$ of the angle to the tangent line, for thick hits (more than a $3 / 4$-ball hit), the deflection angle is about $2.5 x$ the cut angle, and for cuts over the wide range from a $1 / 4$-ball to a $3 / 4$-ball hit, the deflection angle is fairly close to 30 degrees (i.e., the "natural angle"), which is the basis for the 30 -degree rule. Equations for each of these cases are summarized below, and the approximation lines appear on the plots.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\theta_{\text {thin }}(\varphi):=0.7(90 \cdot \operatorname{deg}-\varphi) & \mathrm{f}_{\text {thin }}:=0,0.01 . .0 .25 & \varphi_{\text {thin }}:=\varphi_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)+0.01 \cdot \operatorname{deg} . .90 \cdot \operatorname{deg} \\
\theta_{\text {full }}(\varphi):=2.5 \cdot \varphi & \mathrm{f}_{\text {full }}:=0.75,0.76 . .1 & \varphi_{\text {full }}:=0 \cdot \operatorname{deg}, 0.01 \cdot \operatorname{deg} . . \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \\
\theta_{\text {nat }}(\varphi):=30 \cdot \operatorname{deg} & \mathrm{f}_{\text {nat }}:=0.25,0.26 \ldots 0.75 & \varphi_{\text {nat }}:=\varphi_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right), \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)+0.01 \cdot \operatorname{deg} . . \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\text {back }}:=0.4,0.41 . .1 & \varphi_{\text {back }}:=0 \cdot \operatorname{deg}, 0.01 \operatorname{deg} . . \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}(0.4) \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\text {point }}:=0.7,0.71 . .1 & \varphi_{\text {point }}:=0 \cdot \operatorname{deg}, 0.01 \operatorname{deg} . . \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}(0.7)
\end{array}
$$

ideal CB carom angle vs. ball-hit fraction:



The plots and approximations above apply only to the idealized case of perfectly elastic balls with absolutely no friction between them. The following analysis (and the end of TP A.6) takes into account both ball inelasticity and friction.

From TP A. 14, the relative sliding speed between the CB and OB, at impact, is:

$$
v_{r e l}=\sqrt{\left(v \sin (\phi)-R \omega_{z}\right)^{2}+\left(R \omega_{x} \cos (\phi)\right)^{2}}
$$

For a rolling CB ( $\left.\omega_{x}=-v / R\right)$ with no English $\left(\omega_{z}=0\right)$, the relative sliding speed is the same as the CB speed for all cut angles:

$$
v_{r e l}=v
$$

Therefore, from TP A.14, for a rolling CB with no English, the coefficient of sliding friction between the balls will vary with CB speed (in units of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) according to:

$$
\mu(\mathrm{v}):=9.951 \times 10^{-3}+0.108 \cdot \exp (-1.088 \cdot \mathrm{v})
$$

From TP A.6, the post-impact velocity components and final CB carom angle for a rolling CB, accounting for ball inelasticity and friction, are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{\mathrm{x} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi):=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{R}} \cdot\left[\frac{5}{4} \cdot \mu(\mathrm{v}) \cdot(1+\mathrm{e}) \cdot \cos (\phi)^{3}-1\right] \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{y} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi):=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{R}} \cdot\left[\frac{5}{4} \cdot \mu(\mathrm{v}) \cdot(1+\mathrm{e}) \cdot \sin (\phi) \cdot \cos (\phi)^{2}\right] \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi):=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{2} \cdot \sin (\phi) \cdot \cos (\phi) \cdot[1+\mathrm{e}-\mu(\mathrm{v}) \cdot(1+\mathrm{e}) \cdot \cos (\phi)] \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{y} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi):=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{2} \cdot\left[\sin (\phi)^{2} \cdot[2-\mu(\mathrm{v}) \cdot(1+\mathrm{e}) \cdot \cos (\phi)]+(1-\mathrm{e}) \cdot \cos (\phi)^{2}\right] \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{typ}}(\mathrm{v}, \phi):=\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{5 \cdot \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi)+2 \cdot \mathrm{R} \cdot \omega_{\mathrm{y} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi)}{5 \cdot \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{y} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi)-2 \cdot \mathrm{R} \cdot \omega_{\mathrm{x} 0}(\mathrm{v}, \phi)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The plots below shot how things are slightly different with typical ball elasticity and friction conditions. Notice how shot speed only has a slight effect on the results.

## typical CB carom angle vs. ball-hit fraction:



## typical CB carom angle vs. cut angle:



## Bottom Line:

With a rolling CB shot, the CB carom angle will be:

- about 30 degrees for an average cut shot (between a 1/4-ball to a 3/4-ball hit)
- about 70\% of the angle to the tangent line for a thin hit (less than a 1/4-ball hit)
- about 2.5-times the cut angle for a thick hit (more than a 3/4-ball hit)

Also, here are exact CB carom angle values for important ball-hit references:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{\text {typ }}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\text {slow }}, \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}(0.5)\right)=33.4 \cdot \text { deg } & 1 / 2 \text {-ball hit } \\
\theta_{\text {typ }}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\text {slow }}, \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}(0.25)\right)=27 \cdot \text { deg } & 1 / 4 \text {-ball hit } \\
\theta_{\text {typ }}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\text {slow }}, \varphi_{\mathrm{f}}(0.75)\right)=27.5 \cdot \text { deg } & 3 / 4 \text {-ball hit }
\end{array}
$$

