

<u>TP B.19</u> Comparison of cue ball deflection (squirt) "endmass" and stiffness effects

supporting: "The Illustrated Principles of Pool and Billiards" http://billiards.colostate.edu by David G. Alciatore, PhD, PE ("Dr. Dave")

originally posted: 1/23/2016 last revision: 1/30/2016

From an actual tip-deflection measurement due to a weight applied to the end of a Predator Z-2 shaft:

 $F_{applied} \coloneqq 3.1 \ lbf$ $\Delta x_{measured} \coloneqq 1.4 \cdot in$

Therefore, the transverse stiffness of the shaft is:

 $k_{shaft} \coloneqq \frac{F_{applied}}{\Delta x_{measured}} = 2.214 \ \frac{lbf}{in}$

For a medium-fast shot, the impulse pushing the CB forward with momentum *mv* is about:

 $m \coloneqq 6 \cdot oz \qquad v \coloneqq 5 \cdot mph$ $F_{imp} \coloneqq m \cdot v = 0.085 \ lbf \cdot s$

Over a typical cue-tip contact time, the peak forward force generated, assuming a triangular impulse curve, is:

 $\Delta t \coloneqq 1 \cdot ms = 0.001 \ s$

$$F_{fwd} \coloneqq \frac{2}{\Delta t} \cdot F_{imp} = 170.945 \ lbf$$

For a near-maximum sidespin shot, the peak angular speed as the tip releases from the ball is about:

$$R \coloneqq 2.25 \cdot in \qquad \omega \coloneqq \frac{v}{R} = 373.484 \ rpm$$

The amount the CB rotates during tip contact, using an average CB speed and spin during contact is about:

$$\Delta \theta \coloneqq \frac{\omega}{2} \cdot \Delta t = 1.12 \ deg$$

Therefore, for a near-maximum spin shot (where the tip contact point is about 30 degrees from the center), the amount the tip moves sideways during tip contact is about:

 $\Delta x \coloneqq R \cdot \Delta \theta \cdot \cos(30^\circ) = 0.038 \ in$

This corresponds to a peak sideways force, due to stiffness k, of about:

 $F_k \coloneqq k_{shaft} \cdot \Delta x = 0.084 \ lbf$

From my September '07 article, for a typical shot with sidespin, the squirt angle for the Z-2 is about:

 $\alpha \coloneqq 1.8$ °

Therefore, the total peak sideways force delivered to the CB (which acts equal and opposite on the tip) is about:

$$F_{side} \coloneqq \tan(\alpha) \cdot F_{fwd} = 5.372 \ lbf$$

The force resulting from transverse stiffness is a small fraction of this total sideways force:

$$\frac{F_k}{F_{side}} = 1.571\%$$

Since only a portion of the shaft flexes (5-8 inches) during tip contact, I took another set of staic stiffness measurements, with only 8 inches of the end of the shaft allowed to flex. Here is how this changes the results:

$$\Delta x_{measured} \coloneqq 0.156 \cdot in \qquad \qquad k_{shaft} \coloneqq \frac{F_{applied}}{\Delta x_{measured}} = 19.872 \frac{lbf}{in}$$

$$F_k \coloneqq k_{shaft} \cdot \Delta x = 0.757 \ lbf \qquad \qquad \frac{F_k}{F_{side}} = 14.095\%$$

Therefore, as has been shown with experiments dealing with adding and removing mass from the end of the shaft, endmass is much more important than shaft flex concerning how much CB deflection (squirt) a shaft creates (although, as pointed out on the following resource page, lateral or transverse stiffness does affect "effective endmass").

For more information, see: http://billiards.colostate.edu/threads/squirt.html#endmass

Acknowledgment: I want to thank Jim Valasina on AZB for helping me to think through some of the assumptions and implications involved with this analysis.